Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/769,532	WHIRLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Thomas of Cweek	"""
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (5).	g date of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially red	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s)		l be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu In response to applicant's arguments against the referer references individually where the rejections are based o 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 23 two pronged there is both official notice and evidence. the Examiner points to both Rhee et al and Shukla (643243) use PEG as a means of drug delivery. Regarding the tea and as the extrinic evidence of (6432438,example 15) re (or body fluid since that are water based).	nces individually, one cannot show in combinations of references. See 31 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). regate the official not ice was not addressed 8, example 15) as extrinic evidence achings of Rhee et al, the disclosur	nonobviousness by attacking In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ arding official notice, the rejection is d so it is admitted prior art, the demonstration that is is well known to e clearly stated that PEG is hydrohilic
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	

Application No.

/Thomas J Sweet/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090811